## Adult Community Learning Essex

**Title:** **Assessment and Examination Malpractice (including maladministration) Policy**

**Control of document:** Adult Community Learning Senior Leadership Team

# Policy aims and intention:

Adult Community Learning, Essex, ensures that the assessment and examination practice for QCF programmes and non QCF accredited programmes complies with the Awarding Body requirements and the Joint Council for Qualifications procedures in relation to malpractice. The policy aims to ensure the highest standard of integrity and reliability in assessment and examination practice.

**Responsibility group:** Adult Community Learning Senior Leadership Team

**Date of acceptance: September 2012**

**Reviewed: September 2022**

**Next review date: September 2023**

**Audience** All Managers, Tutors, Learning Support Assistants, Business Support Staff, Examinations Officers, Invigilators, Operations Managers, Assessors, Volunteers, Learners and other service users.

# The policy:

The policy for assessment and examination practice follows the Awarding Bodies and JCQ requirements.

**References**

* JCQ (Joint Council for Qualifications) Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures issued at the start of the academic year.

Adult Community Learning Essex takes active steps to ensure that the policy is adhered to:

* All tutors/assessors will be qualified and occupationally competent according to Awarding Body scheme guidelines. Fair and reliable assessment processes are used to ensure that all candidates have the opportunity to demonstrate their competence, thus ensuring equal access. Evidence is judged for validity, currency, authenticity and sufficiency.
* Occupationally competent and qualified IQAs continually review assessment practice. Support and advice is given to tutors/assessors by IQAs and Curriculum Leads (as appropriate).
* Assessment decisions and practices are regularly sampled, and findings are acted upon to ensure consistency. (See ACL Essex Assessment Policy).
* Course inductions and learner handbooks set out the assessment and/or examination procedures clearly.
* Guidance for learners on appropriate formats to record cited texts and other information sources, such as websites, is provided, as appropriate.
* Assessment procedures include checking for malpractice such as collusion and plagiarism.
* Controls are installed to prevent learners accessing other learners’ work when using networked computers.
* Learners are required to sign a statement that the work produced for assessment is their own.
* Examination Officers adhere to the JCQ regulations relating to the conduct of examinations.

**Definitions of malpractice**

Malpractice includes maladministration and means any act, default or practice which compromises, or attempts to compromise, or may damage the process of assessment, the integrity of the qualification or the validity of the result/certificate and/or damages the authority, reputation or credibility of Adult Community Learning Essex and/or the Awarding Body (reference JCQ policies).

### Adult Community Learning Essex regards any form of malpractice, including maladministration, as a serious matter. Individuals who may be involved in malpractice are varied and may include:

### Learners/candidates

* Centre Staff: managers/ tutors/trainers/assessors/ learning support assistants/invigilators/exams officers/ internal verifiers/external verifiers or others responsible for the conduct, administration or quality assurance of examinations or assessments.
* Other third parties e.g. parents/guardians/relations/friends of the candidate

**Learner malpractice**

### The following list is not exhaustive; other instances of malpractice may be determined:

* **Plagiarism**

This is defined as unacknowledged use of another person’s work and attempting to pass this off as the candidate’s own. This may include images, artwork, words, computer generated work.

* **Collusion between learners**

This may include a learner permitting another learner to copy all or part of his/her work or submits work that has been produced in collaboration with another person without approval.

* **Impersonation**

This may involve pretending to be someone else in order to produce the work for another person or arranging for another person to take an examination/assessment in his/her own place.

* **Cheating during an examination**

This may involve use of unauthorised material during the examination (including mobile phones and other electronic devices) and /or behaving in such a way as to undermine the integrity of the examination/assessment.

* **Fabrication of results and/or evidence**

This may include falsely claiming to have carried out research/observations/ tests as part of the assessment and fabricating the results.

* **Falsification**

This may involve the alteration of any results document, including Certificates.

* All other examples identified by JCQ “Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessment” document

**Centre Staff malpractice (including Maladministration)**

Adult Community Learning Essex identifies the following instances as malpractice, including maladministration, by Centre Staff. The list is not exhaustive:

* Alteration of Awarding Body mark schemes/assessment and grading criteria.
* Assisting learners in the production of work for assessment, for example where Centre staff produce the work for the learner or provide assistance beyond what is acceptable in the normal course of advising, coaching or supporting learners.
* Producing falsified witness statements.
* Allowing evidence that is known not to be the learner’s own to be included in the learner’s portfolio.
* Facilitating and allowing impersonation.
* Misusing the conditions for special learner requirements.
* Assisting or prompting candidates with the production of answers in a controlled assessment/test/examination.
* Falsifying records/certificates.
* Fraudulent certificate claims.
* Failing to keep assessment/examination/test papers secure prior to the examination/assessment.
* Failing to validate the identity of a learner taking an examination/test.
* Tampering with candidate scripts or controlled assessments after collection and before dispatch to the Awarding Body.
* Obtaining unauthorized access to examination/assessment materials.
* Failing to follow regulations and procedures for the conduct of examinations/assessments.
* All other examples identified by JCQ “Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessment” document.

**Other third parties e.g. parents/guardians/relations/friends of the candidate in collusion with learner**

The following list is not exhaustive. Examples may include:

* Third party production of the work produced for assessment.
* Submission of another person’s work that has been assessed in a previous year.

**Procedure for dealing with malpractice in the exams room**

### If malpractice is suspected, in the first instance the Invigilator must approach the candidate discreetly to avoid further disruption and ask them to stop doing whatever appears to be causing the malpractice e.g. stop talking, remove unauthorized items, the candidate will be allowed to continue with their exam. If the candidate continues with the unauthorized behavior, the Exams Officer must be contacted, and the candidate may be removed from the exam room if this is causing a disturbance.

### All malpractice activities must be reported to the Exams Officer who will request a report from the invigilator and learner (if required) and use this to submit a malpractice form to the Awarding Body within 48 hours of the incident occurring.

### Investigation into alleged cases of malpractice in non-examination assessment situations, outside the exam room or remote invigilation

### Learner malpractice/third party malpractice

Where learner malpractice/third party malpractice is suspected, the Curriculum Lead must be informed and an investigation carried out, following the Awarding Body procedure and time line. S/he must inform the Vice Principle – Quality and Compliance. The Vice Principle – Quality and Compliance must notify the Awarding Body within the deadlines set by each Body, of all suspicions or actual incidences of malpractice. The Vice Principle – Quality and Compliance may, on consideration of the case, delegate the investigation to an “independent” Senior Manager. Penalties for proved learner malpractice will be determined according to ACL policies and procedures and Awarding Body regulations.

### Remote Invigilation

Remote invigilation will take place according to Awarding Body regulations. Any suspected malpractice will be investigated as above and reported to the Awarding Body. Malpractice could also include periods of extended internet connection loss or inability for the invigilator to survey the surroundings of the learner.

**Teacher Assessed Grades**

This policy applies to the setting of teacher assessed grades as when these are required. For full guidance refer to the Summer 2021 ACL Centre Policy for determining teacher assessed grades (April 2021).

### Centre Staff Malpractice (including Maladministration)

### Where Centre Staff malpractice/maladministration is suspected, the case should be referred initially to the appropriate line manager. The Vice Principal – Quality and Compliance and Staff Development, other Senior Manager or Head of Service will investigate the case, following Essex County Council procedures into suspected cases of misconduct. The Awarding Body will be informed of the issue being investigated. Where appropriate an independent investigator will be appointed according to Essex County Council’s policies and procedures. Outcomes and penalties resulting from proven cases of misconduct will comply with Essex County Council procedures.